

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/758,646	OTSUKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry M. Johnson, III	3739	

All Participants:

(1) Henry M. Johnson, III.

(2) Thomas Spinelli.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 & 29 March 2006

Time: 1:28 PM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 15 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Change to claim 16 for clarity, change grasping portion to grasping member for consistency and minor changes to specification.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)